

REMARKS

This Amendment are responsive to the Office action mailed on 23 August 2005 (Paper No. 0805) as well as the Supplemental Office action mailed on 14 December 2005 (Paper No. 0805).

Citation of Huang *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,525,469 in PTO-892 is appreciated.

Acknowledgment of the filing and receipt of the certified copy of Korean priority application No. 10997/2003 is also appreciated.

Of all pending claims 1-11, claim 1 has been amended in two instances. Thus, claims 1-11 remain pending in the application. Claims 12 - 22 were previously canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of their subject matter.

Claims 1-11 have been rejected under 35 USC §102 as anticipated by a Huang et al for the reasons stated on pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action. By this Amendment, claim 1 has been amended such that it is submitted that claims 1-11 are patentable over Huang et al for the following reasons:

As noted in Figures 2-4 of the present application, the rows of discharge cells of the present invention are either fully open or fully closed.

On the other hand, in the cited Huang et al patent, only Figures 2 and 3 illustrate fully open

and fully closed discharge cells. More particularly, Figures 2 and 3 illustrate fully open, partially open (or partially closed, depending on your point of view), and closed discharge cells arranged in a repeating pattern. Figures 4 and 5 illustrate only partially open (or partially closed) discharge cells.

Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended so as to now clearly recite that at least one row of every three rows of discharge cells is arranged in a fully open configuration and the other rows of discharge cells excluding the at least one row include discharge cells arranged in a fully closed configuration.

Turning to the specific language of the present claims, it is submitted that the cited Huang et al. patent does not teach or suggest a plasma display panel having at least one row of every three rows of discharge cells arranged in a fully open configuration and the other rows of discharge cells excluding the at least one row include discharge cells arranged in a fully closed configuration as recited in claim 1.

Similarly, claims 8 and 9 respectively recite one cell unit comprising two rows of closed discharge cells and one row of open discharge cells and one cell unit comprising one row of closed discharge cells and two rows of open discharge cells, these limitations neither taught nor suggested by Huang et al.

Furthermore, claims 2-7, 10, and 11, being ultimately dependent upon amended claim 1, must therefore also be patentable over Huang et al.

An additional reference was cited by the Examiner but not utilized in the rejection of the claims and accordingly, no further comment on this reference is necessary.

The Examiner has objected to the title and by this Amendment, the title has been revised in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion to read "PLASMA DISPLAY PANEL WITH OPEN AND CLOSED DISCHARGE CELLS".

No other issues remaining, reconsideration and favorable action upon all of the claims now present in the application is respectfully requested.

No fee is incurred by this Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert E. Bushnell,
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P57022
Date: 1/10/06
I.D.: REB/HMZ